UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DO PATERIA 9-28-28
Plaintiff,	The state of the s
-against-	21-cv-10832 (LAK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,	
Defendants.	
ORDER	

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, District Judge.

The plaintiff in this case alleges that he was arrested and beaten by New York City police officers on June 3, 2020. His first amended complaint regarding this incident nevertheless fills 74 pages and 357 paragraphs and contains nine claims for relief. Defendants have moved to dismiss it. Plaintiff has responded with, *inter alia*, a declaration of his counsel, twenty-seven exhibits, and – commendably – the withdrawal of a number of his claims. In an effort to simplify consideration of the motion, the Court makes the following rulings while retaining *sub judice* what remains of the motion.

- 1. Plaintiff's (a) claims against defendants de Blasio, Shea and Monahan, (b) his facial First Amendment-based challenges to the so-called Curfew Orders, (c) his federal malicious prosecution claim, (d) his federal Equal Protection-based claims related to the Curfew Orders including those premised on a selective enforcement theory only, (e) his claims based on a due process-based facial challenge to the Curfew Orders, and (f) his negligent hiring and supervision claims under New York law, all of which he has withdrawn (Oliver Decl. (Dkt 56) ¶ 31), are dismissed.
- 2. Under principles recently summarized in SEC v. Medallion Financial Corp., No. 21-cv-11125 (LAK), 2022 WL 3043224 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 2, 2022), the Court excludes from consideration on this motion all materials outside the first amended complaint (save any that, on further consideration, it determines to have been incorporated by reference or otherwise properly considered, which it will consider only for purposes permissible under those principles). It declines to convert this motion into one for summary judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(d).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

September 28, 2022

United States District Judge